

Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
	10/671,849	JIAO ET AL.	
	Examiner	Art Unit	
	Antonio A. Caschera	2628	

All Participants:

(1) Antonio A. Caschera.

(2) Anthony B. Diepenbrock.

Date of Interview: 30 August 2006

Type of Interview:

☒ Telephonic

☐ Video Conference

☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☒ No

If Yes, provide a brief description: _____

Part I.

Rejection(s) discussed:

N/A

Claims discussed:

All

Prior art documents discussed:

N/A

Part II.

SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:

See Continuation Sheet

Part III.

☒ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.


☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.

Status of Application: Responding to After-Final Amendment

(3) _____

(4) _____

Time: 1:00 PM



(Examiner/SPE Signature)

PATENT EXAMINER

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was discussed: Examiner contacted Applicant's Representative indicating that claims were in condition for allowance except for 35 USC 101 issues, according to the current practice of the Office, in the independent claims. Specifically, no tangible results are produced from the independent claims. Examiner and Applicant's Representative agreed upon amending the independent claims to recite that the expanded line segment is displayed on the output device which would overcome the 35 USC 101 issues.